

is active in the politics of South Carolina, leading rallies at the State Capitol dealing with issues ranging from the Confederate Flag to workers rights.

Richard Brewer led the ILA Retiree volunteers in hosting a "Legislative Breakfast" in Charleston, South Carolina last year. He also took it upon himself to ensure the attendance of key elected officials, causing the event to be a complete success. The funding for the breakfast was also secured by Mr. Brewer, whose ILA Retirees paid for the event.

Mr. Speaker, I ask you to join me in paying tribute to Richard Brewer and the ILA Local 1422 Retirees. Mr. Brewer has demonstrated tireless dedication and loyalty to the citizens of my state of South Carolina and for this he should be honored.

THE MEDICARE AND MEDICAID
NURSING SERVICES QUALITY IMPROVEMENT ACT of 2001

HON. EARL POMEROY

OF NORTH DAKOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 4, 2001

Mr. POMEROY. Mr. Speaker, today I join my colleague from Wisconsin, Representative PAUL RYAN, in introducing legislation to allow certain non-certified resident assistants to continue to be employed by nursing facilities in North Dakota, Wisconsin, and up to 8 other states under a 3-year demonstration project.

For several years, nursing facilities in these and other states have relied upon single-task employees, specifically assistants who help their residents dine, to supplement professional nurse staffing levels and increase patient care. Unfortunately, the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) has given our states' facilities until August 31, 2001 to discontinue the employment of feeding assistants. With the current national shortage in nursing facility employees, the loss of these valuable workers will further strain our nursing homes. Particularly as our elderly population increases in future years, we must ensure that nursing homes do not lose existing staff. Unless Congress acts, significantly fewer trained professionals will be available to ensure that nursing home residents can comfortably and safely enjoy their meals.

In North Dakota alone, 40 percent, or two out of five, of the state's nursing facilities have had to deny new admissions in the past 12 months due to staffing shortages. The state currently has 600 open positions for Certified Nursing Assistants (CNAs). While the North Dakota Long Term Care Association encourages all feeding assistants to become CNAs, many assistants are members of a contingent workforce and are not able to become CNAs due to physical or other limitations.

I understand that certain consumer groups, patient advocates, and labor organizations have concerns regarding the continued employment of feeding assistants in long-term care facilities. I also believe, as do these organizations, that we must act during this Congress to address the nursing shortage in our nation, increase wages for certified and licensed nurse professionals, and improve the

work conditions of these individuals. At the same time, I believe that moderate steps can be taken to address the reservations regarding feeding assistants without compromising the ability of nursing facilities to care for our nation's seniors.

Specifically, I support efforts to allow only feeding assistants to continue to be employed by nursing facilities in a few states through a pilot project administered by the Department of Health and Human Services. Under such a program, these assistants augment staffing levels in a facility—they do not supplant professional nurses and are not counted toward any minimum staffing levels. Furthermore, these feeding assistants would have to complete a state-reviewed training and competency evaluation, and would only complete a limited number of tasks under onsite supervision by a licensed health professional. I believe that these safeguards, among others, would ensure the quality of care without obviating the need for CNAs and other nurse professionals in long-term care facilities.

Mr. Speaker, I look forward to working with my colleagues this year to ensure that our nursing facilities have the staff and resources necessary to care for our families and friends in the years to come.

NATIONAL HEALTH PROMOTION
RESOLUTION OF 2001

HON. GENE GREEN

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 4, 2001

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise today, along with my colleague Mr. BURTON, to introduce the National Health Promotion Resolution of 2001. This resolution recognizes the importance of health promotion and disease prevention, and expresses the sense of Congress that more should be done to integrate lifestyle improvement programs into national policy, health care workplaces, families and communities.

Modifiable lifestyle factors such as smoking, sedentary lifestyle, poor nutrition, unmanaged stress, and obesity account for approximately half of premature deaths in the United States. Spending on chronic diseases related to lifestyle and other preventable diseases accounts for an estimated 70 percent of total health care spending. With the pending retirement of the baby-boom-generation, the financial burden of these preventable diseases will further threaten the solvency of the Medicare program.

Health promotion programs have the potential to improve health, improve quality of life, reduce health care costs, and boost productivity. The Institute of Medicine has recommended that additional research is required to determine the most effective strategies at the individual, organizational, community, and societal level to create lasting health behavior changes, reduce medical utilization and enhance work-place productivity. Unfortunately, a very small percentage of health care spending is devoted to health promotion.

The National Health Promotion Resolution of 2001 expresses the sense of Congress that

more must be done in this area. In light of the pending crisis facing our Medicare system, the federal government stands to benefit greatly from the potential reduction in costs associated with an aggressive health promotion agenda.

This bipartisan legislation has forty original cosponsors, including the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. BURTON, who has worked closely with me and my office to shape this into a meaningful resolution. It is my hope that we will continue to work together to further our commitment to health promotion and disease prevention.

I urge my colleagues to join us on this important resolution.

SNOWMOBILES IN NATIONAL
PARKS

HON. RUSH D. HOLT

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 4, 2001

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing, with 17 of my colleagues, a bill to protect America's national parks from what is expected to be the next environmental rollback by the Bush Administration—an effort to overturn the National Park Service (NPS) decision to phase out snowmobile use in Yellowstone and Grand Teton national parks.

In response to a 1997 lawsuit, the NPS prepared an environmental impact statement (EIS) on the 100,000 snowmobiles entering Yellowstone and Grand Teton each winter. The NPS determined that those snowmobiles produce noise that can be heard by other visitors as much as 95% of the time, produce more air pollution than all other motor vehicles in Yellowstone throughout the year, and disturb bison and wildlife when they already face the stresses of brutal winter conditions. Because of these and other impacts, the NPS adopted a new rule to phase out by the winter of 2003-2004 all snowmobile use in Yellowstone and most of that use in Grand Teton, with expanded service by snowcoaches (multi-passenger vehicles) to provide continued wintertime access to the parks. The rule, the culmination of a 3½ year process, was published in the Federal Register on January 22, 2001.

Three key facts about the Yellowstone-Grand Teton snowmobile rule:

First, it is strongly supported by the public—by most public comments on the EIS, and fully 85% of the public comments on the proposed rule.

Second, the National Park Service determined not only that the snowmobile use in these parks is inappropriate, but also that it is unlawful. The Service determined that it violates the basic NPS mandate, in its Organic Act of 1916, to keep the scenery, natural and historic objects, and wildlife of national parks "unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations." The Park Service determines that the snowmobile use violates the Clean Air Act. The Service determined that the snowmobile use violates two Executive Orders, one by President Nixon and one by President Carter, setting standards for snowmobile use in national parks. And the Service determined that